June & Brown



JAN 1 3 1995

Memorandum

Date

From June Gibbs Brown

Inspector General

Subject

Review of the Island Peer Review Organization's Denials of Full Medical Assistance Claims That New York State Identified as Pended or Denied Through the Automated Void Process (A-02-94-01008)

To

Bruce C. Vladeck Administrator Health Care Financing Administration

This memorandum alerts you to the issuance on January 19, 1995 of our final audit report. A copy is attached.

The primary purpose of our review was to determine why the Island Peer Review Organization's (IPRO) denials of Medicaid claims were rejected by New York's Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) and to determine whether any of the claims denials warrant additional recovery action by New York State (NYS). Our review was made of IPRO's denial determinations for admissions on or after January 1, 1988 and included denials submitted by IPRO through March 27, 1993.

We determined that the primary cause of these claims denial rejections by MMIS was systems incompatibility. Our review also found that no formal review of the rejected claims denials had been made by NYS. Rather, we were advised that State officials believed that the claims denials had already been recouped because the affected providers had voluntarily submitted the claims denials themselves prior to IPRO's submission. Accordingly, State officials believed that the recoveries had been made and that no further recovery action was required.

Of the 2,281 claims denials reviewed, we found that 1,100 or 48 percent had voluntarily been processed by the providers. For another 335 claims denials, we determined that IPRO had reversed its original denial determination. Therefore, for 1,435 claims denials, no further recovery action is warranted.

However, for the remaining 846 claims denials, we believe that IPRO's denial determinations remain valid but timing factors, system limitations, clerical errors, and

Page 2 - Bruce C. Vladeck

lack of follow-up have precluded the processing of the necessary financial adjustments. For these claims, we believe additional recovery action should be initiated by NYS. For the 846 claims, we identified a potential unrecovered amount of \$5,029,429 (Federal share \$1,997,235) in claims denials submitted by IPRO that were not processed. As a result, neither the State nor the Federal Government have been properly credited with their share of these overpayments.

We are recommending that NYS work with IPRO and the affected providers to review our findings and determine what portion of the amount that we identified as unrecovered represents firm denial amounts. Once determined, the unrecovered claims should be recouped and the Federal share returned. In addition, we are recommending that NYS institute procedures to preclude this situation from recurring.

In their comments, State officials generally concur with the recommendations discussed in our report. In addition, regional officials of the Health Care Financing Administration also concurred with the findings and recommendations contained in our report.

For further information, contact: John Tournour Regional Inspector General for Audit Services, Region II (212) 264-4620

Attachment

Department of Health and Human Services

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

REVIEW OF THE ISLAND PEER REVIEW ORGANIZATION'S DENIALS OF FULL MEDICAL ASSISTANCE CLAIMS THAT NEW YORK STATE IDENTIFIED AS PENDED OR DENIED THROUGH THE AUTOMATED VOID PROCESS



JUNE GIBBS BROWN Inspector General

JANUARY 1995 A-02-94-01008





Region II Jacob K. Javits Federal Buildi: 26 Federal Plaza New York, NY 10278

Our Reference: Common Identification Number A-02-94-01008

Mr. Michael J. Dowling Commissioner New York State Department of Social Services 40 North Pearl Street Albany, New York 12243

Dear Mr. Dowling:

This is to advise you of the results of our REVIEW OF THE ISLAND PEER REVIEW ORGANIZATION'S DENIALS OF FULL MEDICAL ASSISTANCE CLAIMS THAT NEW YORK STATE IDENTIFIED AS PENDED OR DENIED THROUGH THE AUTOMATED VOID PROCESS.

The primary purpose of our review was to determine why the Island Peer Review Organization's (IPRO) denials were rejected and categorized as Pended or Denied by the Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) and to determine whether any of the identified pended or denied transactions warrant additional recovery action by New York State (NYS). Our review was made of IPRO's denial determinations for admissions on or after January 1, 1988 and included denials submitted for voiding by IPRO through March 27, 1993.

During our review period, IPRO was under contract with NYS to perform peer reviews of inpatient hospital stays to determine whether the services were appropriate and met professionally recognized standards. In performing these reviews, IPRO had the authority to deny claims when their examination of medical records determined that the claimed services were inappropriate or failed to meet professional standards. In this regard, IPRO developed the capacity to submit voided claims information via computer tapes directly to Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC) which is the fiscal agent for the NYS Medicaid program. The CSC operates the State's computerized MMIS and processes IPRO's voids which should result in the recovery of the affected Medicaid funds.

Page 2 - Michael J. Dowling

We examined denial data appearing on computer generated reports entitled Pended or Denied Void Transactions. These computer reports contained data on 2,281 hospital claims which IPRO had denied, submitted to CSC for voiding under the automated void system, but which the MMIS rejected as being unable to be processed. We recently issued a final audit report (performed under CIN A-02-93-01023) on the automated void system and those denials that were successfully voided. Our current audit builds upon the knowledge of the automated void system that we gained during our previous audit. As part of our current review, we analyzed why the denial transactions were rejected by the system, what action NYS took to resolve the pended or denied transactions and we evaluated whether additional recovery action by NYS appeared warranted. Where our analysis indicated that a potential unrecovered denial determination existed, we computed the amount to be recouped.

We determined that the primary factor which caused the 2,281 claims to be pended or denied was that the claim reference numbers (CRNs), (a unique number assigned by the MMIS to each claim) on the IPRO automated void tapes did not match the CRNs contained on the MMIS inpatient paid history files at CSC. A further discussion as to why the CRNs did not match is contained in the body of our report.

Our audit determined that no formal review of the pended or denied claims had been made by NYS. Rather, we were advised that State officials believed that the claims appearing on the Pended or Denied Void Transactions reports had been recouped because the affected providers had voluntarily submitted the voided claims themselves directly to CSC prior to IPRO's submission. Accordingly, State officials believed that the recoveries had been made and that no further action was required.

Of the 2,281 pended or denied claims reviewed, we found that 1,100 or 48 percent were attributable to the State's explanation that the providers themselves had voluntarily processed the necessary voids or adjustments. For another 335 claims, we determined that IPRO had reversed its original denial determinations subsequent to the printing of the Pended or Denied Void Transactions report. Accordingly, for these 1,435 claims, no further recovery action is warranted and their status as pended or denied claims has been resolved.

However, for the remaining 846 claims, we believe that IPRO's denial determinations remain valid but timing factors, system limitations involving the CRN field, clerical errors and lack of follow-up have precluded the processing of the necessary financial adjustments. For these claims, we believe additional recovery action should be initiated by NYS and we have

Page 3 - Michael J. Dowling

computed the potential financial effect of the unprocessed denial determinations. For the 846 claims, we identified a potential unrecovered amount of \$5,029,429 (Federal share \$1,997,235) in claims submitted for voiding by IPRO that were not processed by CSC. The 846 claims represent denials involving 107 hospitals that were pended or denied during the processing phase and still remain unrecovered. As a result, neither the State nor the Federal Government have been properly credited with their share of these overpayments.

We are recommending that NYS work with IPRO and the affected providers to review our findings and determine what portion of the amount that we identified as unrecovered represents firm denial amounts. Once determined, the unrecovered claims should be recouped and the Federal share returned. In addition, we are recommending that NYS institute follow-up procedures to timely review, evaluate, and clear transactions appearing on the Pended or Denied Void Transactions reports.

INTRODUCTION

Background

The Medicaid program, authorized by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, as amended, provides grants to States for furnishing medical assistance to eligible low-income persons. The States arrange with medical service providers such as physicians, pharmacies, hospitals, nursing homes, and other organizations to provide the needed medical assistance.

On May 1, 1966, NYS initiated its Medicaid program. The NYS Department of Social Services (DSS) is the Single State Agency for Medicaid. The DSS delegates certain of its responsibilities to other State agencies. One such agency is the Department of Health (DOH). The DOH is responsible for developing medical standards, monitoring the quality of care provided to patients, and establishing Medicaid rates and fees. To ensure that the services provided to a patient are appropriate and to help control health care costs, DOH contracted with IPRO to perform utilization reviews. As part of their reviews, IPRO evaluates the appropriateness of inpatient hospital admissions and discharges and reviews the quality of care provided.

During our review period, IPRO's responsibilities included reviewing inpatient stays (except AIDS cases) at New York City and Long Island hospitals from January 1988 to April 1989, reviewing inpatient stays (except AIDS cases) at all NYS hospitals after April 1989, and reviewing selected AIDS cases after

Page 4 - Michael J. Dowling

April 1991. When IPRO performed peer reviews of inpatient hospital stays reimbursed by Medicaid, it determined whether the care provided met professionally recognized standards. Based on their peer review, IPRO either approved a hospital stay, disallowed the entire stay which should have resulted in full recovery of Medicaid funds, or disallowed a portion of the stay which should have resulted in partial recovery of Medicaid funds.

When IPRO denied an entire stay or portion of a stay, the denial determination was sent to the affected hospital. Hospital officials then had the opportunity to appeal the determination. For admissions on or after January 1, 1988, IPRO had the capability of submitting claims to be voided via computer tapes to New York's MMIS fiscal agent. When processed successfully, the voided claims resulted in the recovery of IPRO's full denials.

On April 13, 1994, we issued a final audit report entitled REVIEW OF THE ISLAND PEER REVIEW ORGANIZATIONS DENIALS OF FULL MEDICAL ASSISTANT CLAIMS THAT NEW YORK STATE IDENTIFIED AS SUCCESSFULLY RECOVERED THROUGH THE AUTOMATED VOID PROCESS (Common Identification Number A-02-93-01023). In performing our current audit, we utilized knowledge gained in our previous audit. Our prior audit work provided us with reasonable assurance that the automated void system was working properly. However, we did find a significant system weakness in that hospitals were resubmitting previously voided claims through the MMIS and the claims were being paid despite the fact that IPRO had made a denial determination and thus the original claim had been voided and recouped. Our current audit found evidence of this problem as well.

Scope of Review

The primary purpose of our review was to determine why IPRO's denials were rejected and categorized as pended or denied by the MMIS and to determine whether any of the identified pended or denied transactions warrant additional recovery action by New York State. Our review was made of IPRO's denial determinations for admissions on or after January 1, 1988 and included denials submitted for voiding by IPRO through March 27, 1993. Our review was limited to full denial determinations and did not include partial claims' denials.

We examined denial data appearing on computer generated reports entitled Pended or Denied Void Transactions. These computer reports contained data on 2,281 hospital claims which IPRO had denied, submitted to CSC for voiding under the automated void system, but which the MMIS system rejected as being unable to be processed. As part of our current review, we analyzed why the denial transactions were rejected by the system, what

Page 5 - Michael J. Dowling

action NYS took to resolve the pended or denied transactions and we evaluated whether additional recovery action by NYS appeared warranted. Where our analysis indicated that a potential unrecovered denial determination existed, we computed the adjustment amount.

In computing the adjustment, we obtained final denial determination information from IPRO and we performed various computer programming applications at the MMIS fiscal agent to determine if the claims submitted for voiding by IPRO, that were subsequently pended or denied by CSC, have been recovered and whether the State and the Federal Government have been credited with their share of these overpayments. Our applications extracted all inpatient claims on file at the MMIS fiscal agent for each of the recipients that IPRO denied Medicaid stays during our review period. We compared the denial determination information to the extracted claims information to determine if recoupment action had occurred. Where no recoupment action was indicated, we calculated the overpayment amount not recovered. Our computations were made as of November 4, 1993. As such, any recoupments made after this date would lower the unrecovered amounts discussed in this report.

Our review was conducted in accordance with generally accepted governmental auditing standards. It included such tests and other auditing procedures that we considered necessary in the circumstances. During our review period, we interviewed IPRO and NYS officials and reviewed applicable policies and procedures relevant to the automated void process. We documented our understanding of the automated void process and conducted tests to determine that it had been placed in operation and was working. While acquiring an understanding of the internal control structure, it became apparent that no internal controls, edits, or other mechanisms existed that would ensure recoupment of IPRO's voided claims that were pended or denied by CSC. As a result, we assessed control risk at the maximum level and decided to perform substantive testing of the total number of full Medicaid denials for the 135 hospitals included in our review. As part of our review, we did not perform a facility-wide review of the electronic data processing general and application controls within the MMIS.

Audit field work was performed at DSS, DOH, IPRO, and the MMIS fiscal agent during the period November 1993 to May 1994.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Contrary to the State's belief that no further recovery action was needed on claims appearing on the Pended or Denied Void Transaction reports, we found that for 846 of the 2,281 claims appearing on these reports, involving 107 hospitals, a potential unrecovered amount of \$5,029,429 (Federal share \$1,997,235) exists for unprocessed denial determinations made by IPRO. As neither the State nor the Federal Government have been properly credited with their share of these overpayments, we are recommending that NYS work with IPRO and the affected providers to review our findings and determine what portion of the amount that we identified as unrecovered represents firm denial amounts. Once determined, the unrecovered claims should be recouped and the Federal share returned. In addition, we are recommending that NYS institute follow-up procedures to timely review, evaluate, and clear transactions appearing on the Pended or Denied Void Transactions reports.

For our audit period, we determined that IPRO submitted a total of 2,281 voided claims that were subsequently pended or denied by CSC for 135 hospitals within NYS. These 2,281 claims appeared on IPRO's Pended or Denied Void Transactions reports. We determined that the primary factor which caused the 2,281 claims to be pended or denied was that the CRNs, (a unique number assigned by the MMIS to each claim) on the IPRO automated void tapes did not match the CRNs contained on the MMIS inpatient paid history file at CSC. Through analysis, we identified the following reasons why the CRNs would not match.

1. Providers had voluntarily submitted voided claims prior to the date when the IPRO automated void tapes were submitted for processing. In this situation, the processing of the providers' voided claims eliminated the original claims from the inpatient paid history file and when the IPRO voids were run, no match could occur. This situation should not require any additional recovery action. However, our review determined that, similar to the weaknesses identified in our earlier audit report, some providers resubmitted their previously voided claims for payment and were paid. As a result, IPRO's void process was circumvented and providers received payment for claims that had been denied.

Page 7 - Michael J. Dowling

- 2. Providers had submitted adjustment claims prior to the date when the IPRO automated void tapes were submitted for processing. When an adjusted claim is processed, a new CRN is assigned and the original claim's CRN is moved to the former CRN field on the inpatient paid history file. Currently, the automated void match is made against the current CRN field. As a result, the original claim's CRN will not result in a match with the adjusted claim's CRN and accordingly, the claim is pended or denied. If the adjusted claim adequately addressed the IPRO denial determination, then no further adjustment would be warranted. However, if the adjusted claim did not properly address the reason for the denial determination, then a potential recoverable amount still exists. Our review disclosed numerous potential recoverable amounts.
- 3. The IPRO made a few clerical errors in inputting denial determination data which resulted in non matches on the CRN field. Our review disclosed that valid denial determinations remained unprocessed because of these errors.

Through inquiry we learned that no formal review of the pended and denied claims had been made by NYS. Rather, we were advised that State officials believed that the claims appearing on the Pended or Denied Void Transactions reports had been recouped because the affected providers had voluntarily submitted the voided claims themselves directly to CSC prior to IPRO's submission. Accordingly, State officials believed that all recoveries had been made and that no further action was required.

Of the 2,281 pended or denied claims reviewed, we found that 1,100 or 48 percent were attributable to the State's explanation that the providers themselves had voluntarily processed the necessary voids or adjustments. For another 335 claims, we determined that IPRO had reversed its original denial determination subsequent to the printing of the Pended or Denied Void Transactions report. Accordingly, for these 1,435 claims, no further recovery action is warranted and their status as pended or denied claims has been resolved.

However, for the remaining 846 claims, we believe that IPRO's denial determinations remain valid but timing factors, system limitations involving the CRN field, clerical errors and lack of follow-up have precluded the processing of the necessary financial adjustments. For these claims, we believe additional recovery action should be initiated by NYS and we have computed the potential financial effect of the unprocessed denial determinations as follows:

Page 8 - Michael J. Dowling

- For 119 claims (\$471,470 Federal share \$201,270), we determined that the providers voluntarily submitted voided claims prior to the processing of the IPRO tapes but then resubmitted these previously voided claims. The rebilled claims were then paid. In effect, the denied claims have not been recovered or properly credited.
- For 642 claims (\$4,468,715 Federal share \$1,752,962), our review indicated that providers had submitted adjusted claims prior to the IPRO tapes being processed. Our analysis indicated that the adjusted claims covered the same periods as IPRO's original denial determinations and accordingly, there is a high probability that the denied determinations have not been effectively recovered or properly credited.
- For another 80 claims (\$24,610 Federal share \$10,686), we determined that IPRO had meant to deny certain additional dates but inadvertently entered dates which had previously been voided on an earlier tape. Accordingly, the error caused the denial determinations to be pended or denied. The error was not detected and accordingly, these 80 claim denials were never processed and financial recovery action is warranted.
- For 5 claims (\$64,634 Federal share \$32,317), we determined that IPRO incorrectly entered the provider numbers on their denial determination tape which then resulted in a non match when the tape was run against the inpatient paid history file. The error was not detected and thus no financial recovery was made for these 5 claims.

In summary for the 846 claims, we identified a potential unrecovered amount of \$5,029,429 (Federal share \$1,997,235) in claims submitted for voiding by IPRO that were not processed by CSC. The 846 claims represent denials involving 107 hospitals that were pended or denied during the processing phase and still remain unrecovered. As a result, neither the State nor the Federal Government have been properly credited with their share of these overpayments.

APPENDIX A of our report includes a summary of the total and Federal share amounts identified by our audit as unrecovered for the 107 hospitals in question. New York State will have to determine what portion of these voided claims represent firm overpayments which need to be recouped.

Page 9 - Michael J. Dowling

Recommendations

We recommend that NYS:

- 1. Work with IPRO and the affected providers to determine what portion of the \$5,029,429 (Federal share \$1,997,235) identified by our audit represents firm denial amounts that remain unrecovered. Once determined, NYS should recoup the overpayment amounts and credit the Federal Government with its share.
- 2. Institute follow-up procedures to timely review, evaluate, and clear transactions appearing on the Pended or Denied Void Transactions reports.

OTHER MATTERS

During our review, we found void transactions which IPRO had submitted that were subsequently pended or denied for admissions prior to our audit period. Specifically, our review found that IPRO submitted a total of 704 voided claims with admission dates prior to January 1, 1988 that were not processed by CSC. Our audit determined that 646 of the 704 voided claims were included in a prior review (CIN A-02-92-01009) and accordingly, we limited our testing to the remaining 58 voided claims.

We determined that 44 of the 58 claims appeared on the MMIS claims history, but we were unable to locate the remaining 14 because the affected providers had voluntarily voided the claims themselves and the denied Medicaid funds had been recouped. For the 44 claims, we found that IPRO reversed its original denial determinations for 4 of them and that the remaining 40 claims at 20 hospitals continue to be denied and unrecovered. These 40 voided claims total \$618,579 of which the Federal share was \$180,882. APPENDIX B of our report includes a summary of the total and Federal share amounts identified by our audit as unrecovered for the 20 hospitals in question.

Recommendations

We recommend that NYS:

1. Work with IPRO and the 20 hospitals to determine what portion of the \$618,579 (Federal share \$180,882) for the 40 voided claims represents firm denial amounts that remain unrecovered. Once determined, NYS should recoup the overpayment amounts and credit the Federal Government with its share.

STATE AGENCY COMMENTS

In their comments dated October 31, 1994, DSS officials indicated that they have shared our report with DOH officials as well as DSS Program staff. In response to recommendation number one on page 9, DSS officials stated that they will work with DOH and IPRO to resolve these cases and if it is determined that voids are necessary, IPRO will submit the cases for processing. With respect to recommendation number two regarding instituting follow-up procedures to clear transactions appearing on the Pended or Denied Void Transactions reports, DSS officials stated that they will initiate a project with DOH and IPRO that will more closely track pended or denied voided transactions.

As for the recommendation on page 10 involving 40 claims with admission dates prior to January 1, 1988 that remain unrecovered, DSS officials stated that steps and corrective actions similar to those mentioned in response to recommendation number one will be taken.

The State's comments are provided in their entirety in APPENDIX C of this report.

OIG RESPONSE

We are pleased to note that the State generally concurs with the recommendations contained within our report. In addition, we have provided the State with the claims' history information, as requested, which should aid in the prompt recovery of pended or denied claims that remain unrecovered.

Final determination as to actions taken on all matters reported will be made by the HHS official named below. We request that you respond to the HHS action official within 30 days from the date of this letter. Your response should present any comments or additional information that you believe may have a bearing on the final determination.

Page 11 - Michael J. Dowling

In accordance with the principles of the Freedom of Information Act (Public Law 90-23), Office of the Inspector General, Office of Audit Services reports issued to the Department's grantees and contractors are available, if requested, to members of the press and general public to the extent information contained therein is not subject to exemptions in the Act, which the Department chooses to exercise. (See 45 CFR Part 5).

To facilitate identification, please refer to the referenced common identification number in all correspondence relating to this report.

Sincerely yours,

John Tournour

Regional Inspector General

for Audit Services

Direct Reply to HHS Action Official:

Mr. Arthur J. O'Leary
Associate Regional Administrator
Division of Medicaid, HCFA, Region II
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
26 Federal Plaza, Rom 38-130
New York, New York 10278

APPENDICES

SCHEDULE OF NON-RECOVERED AMOUNTS FOR ADMISSIONS ON OR AFTER 1/1/88

PROVIDER	DDOVIDED NAME	TOTAL AMOUNT NOT RECOUPED	NON-RECOVERED FEDERAL SHARE
NUMBER 00243105	PROVIDER NAME Beth Israel Medical Center		\$159,702 42,278 12,847 6,570 122,486
00273132	Cabrini Medical Center	1 <u>18</u> ;846	42,278 12,847
00243201	Cabrini Medical Center Presbyterian Hospital-NYC St. Clare's Hospital St. Vincent's Hospital	<u> 18,977</u>	6,570
00243229	St. Vincent's Hospital Pelham Bay Hospital	383,342 2,7 <u>0</u> 2	122,400
00243361	St. Rarnahas Hospital	50;074 3,120	8,698 1,560
00243370 00243389	Hospital for Special Surgery Hospital for Joint Diseases Lenox Hill Hospital	35, 177	8,698 1,560 6,849 4,003 3,067
00243421	Lenox Hill Hospital	10,659 6,870	· 4,003
00243458	Victory Hospital Manhattan Eye Ear Throat Hospital	24,256	
00243476 00243509	Manhattan Eye Ear Throat Hospital NY Eye & Ear Infirmary Mount Sinai Hospital	202,682	99,308
00243518	NY Hospital Montefiore Medical Center Our Lady of Mercy Medical Center Broakdale Hospital	315,782 93,023	35,916
00243563	Our Lady of Mercy Medical Center	25,746	10,517
00243572 00243590	Brookdale Hospital University Hospital of Brooklyn	257,011	117,524
00243614	OUR Lady of Mercy Medical Center Brookdale Hospital University Hospital of Brooklyn Brooklyn Hospital Maimonides Medical Center Kingsbrook Jewish Medical Center Long Island College Hospital Methodist Hospital of Brooklyn Lutheran Medical Center	255,059 81,430	10, 168 991, 308 141, 437 35, 916 102, 519 117, 524 120, 685 40, 7107 15, 4371 33, 620 15, 661 5, 855 19, 690 79, 889
00243669	Kingsbrook Jewish Medical Center	16,214	8, 107 15, 230
00243678 00243701	Methodist Hospital of Brooklyn	5,377	2,371
00243729	Lutheran Medical Center Jamaica Hospital	109,641 15,288	33,207 7.644
00243861	Mary Immaculate Hospital Peninsula Hospital Center	34, 124 14, 062	15,061
00243898 00243903	Peninsula Hospital Center L I J Medical Center	38,356	19, 178
00243967	L I J Medical Center Nyack Hospital Nacsau County Medical Center	161:032	79,889
00244106	Nássau County Medical Center Horton Memorial Hospital	1,120	0 4 961
00244124	St. John's Queens Hospital Booth Memorial Medical Center	54,143	4,961 18,180 23,183 1,155 805
00244202	Staten Island University Hospital	50,291 2:311	23, 183 1, 155
00245496	South Nassau Communities Hospital	1,610	, 805 5 528
00245501 00245510	Staten Island University Hospital Union Hospital of the Bronx South Nassau Communities Hospital St. John's Riverside Hospital North Shore University Hospital Brookhaven Memorial Hospital Brook County Medical Center	45,576	22,788
00245529	Brookhaven Memorial Hospital	2,492 17,400	8:700
00246039	Erie County Medical Center Bellevue Hospital Center	66,879 71,080	20,495 31,588
00243178 00243178 00243178 00243178 002433220 002433361 0022433361 0022433450 0022433450 0022433450 0022433450 0022433450 0022433559 0022433559 0022433559 0022433559 0022433669 0022433678 0022433678 0022433678 0022433690 0022433690 0022433690 0022433690 0022445030	Bronx Municipal Hospital Coney Island Hospital City Hospital Center a Elmhurst Harlem Hospital Center Kings County Hospital Center Lincoln Hospital Center Metropolitan Hospital Center	11,839	2,295
00246075	City Hospital Center @ Elmhurst	20,179	5,674
00246117	Kings County Hospital Center	66,341 29,268	14,202 12,226
00246135		62,753	30,607
00246153 00246171	Queens Hospital North Central Bronx St. Vincent's Medical Center Richmond	92,790	45,024
00248820	St. Vincent's Medical Center Richmond	36,681 5,176	2,588
00268319	St. Joseph's Hospital Southside Hospital	14,502	521-867-42-67-42-7-803-3-521-867-42-67-42-7-803-3-52-1-867-42-7-803-3-52-1-867-42-7-803-3-52-1-2-3-2-3-1-2-3
00246117 00246125 00246153 00246153 00248620 00258820 002588219 00273092 00273116 00273845 00273941 00273948 00274117	Southside Hospital Westchester Square Hospital NY University Medical Center St. Francis Hospital St. Luke's Hospital of Newburgh Good Samaritan Hospital Suffern Community General Sullivan Harris Repedicting Hospital	\$3151837.03150647.224364419904497184426420233110666209999327948330016266207398875667831151837.031506433253151515954483311066620799932791833001626620739887566742869718677516151595448311194195744888837732761120692929265435118643511931186435118643511931186435119311864351186435118643511864351186435118643511864351186435118643511864351186435118643511864351186435118643511864518	2,273
00273845 00273914	St. Francis Hospital St. Luke's Hospital of Newburgh	560	7541 280
00273641	Good Samaritan Hospital Suffern	8,297 9,353	0
00274020	belieure inospriur,	13,589	3,648 1,589 0
00274117	Mount Vernon Hospital Northern Westchester Hospital	1,873	7,500
00274117 00274144 00274204 00274213 00274222	United Hospital Westchester County Medical Center	12,675	3,642 54,634 1,631 1,846 7,940 7,455 7,185 7,185 1,521 1,815 1,816 1,816 1,816
00274222	White Plains Hospital	3,276 8,626	1,638 1,311
00274328	Mercy Medical Center Central Suffolk Hospital	5,692	2,846
00274337 00274346	Eastern Long Island Hospital Good Samaritan Hospital	10,800	5,456
00274355	Huntington Hospital	8,310 14.367	7, 183
00279034	Huntington Hospital John T. Mather Memorial Hospital Strong Memorial Hospital Aurelia Osborn Fox Memorial Hospital Crouse Irving Memorial Hospital	126,237	61,521
00279098 00279396	Aurelia Osborn Fox Memorial Hospital Crouse Irving Memorial Hospital	17,633	' 816 992
00302429		3, 2626 5, 6692 15, 8500 8, 3167 126, 2377 126, 1633 1, 0345 4, 0014	2,017
00310203	Mary Imogene Bassett Hospital Oneida City Hospital Glens Falls Hospital Samaritan Hospital Troy A Barton Hepburn Hospital	4,034 1,004 17,039 2,610	5.201
00318805	Samaritan Hospital Troy	2,610 675	1,305
00274222 00274228 00274328 00274337 00274345 00274364 00279098 00279098 00279098 00279098 00319909 00314998 00314998 00314805 00354072		1 044	5,201 1,305 3327 5322 394
00354398	Massena Memorial Mospital	1,872 57,242 1,222 1,222	
00354467	Mt. St. Mary's Hospital Niagara Falls Medical Center	57,242 1,323	12,910 611 415
00354485 00354518	Oswego Hospital Roswell Park Memorial Institute	(8 <u>3</u> 5 9.473	415
00354545	Sheehan Memorial Hospital St. Jerome Hospital	9,473 5,207 3,852 3,852 26,308	0 0 0
00354623	Woman's Christian Association Olean General Hospital	3,824	197
00354967	St. Luke's Roosevelt Hospital	26,398 6,290 1,625	197 7,986 562 812 269
00355142 00357795	North General Hospital University Hospital	1,625	812
00360650	University Hospital St. Mary's Hospital Rochester Buffalo General Hospital	18,70 <u>1</u>	4.212
00363126	St. Joseph's Hospital	18, 701 3, 885 7, 870 122, 656 3, 828 4, 275	ŭ
00476022	St. James Mercy Hospital Bronx Lebanon Hospital	122,656	42,256
00614755 00647269	United Westth Service Mosnital	_4,255	<u>1</u> , <u>7</u> 38
00354449 00354449 00354467 00354468 003545418 0035454572 003554623 003554632 003554632 003554632 003551749 00360750 00360750 00360750 00360750 00360750 00360750 00360750 00360750 00360750 00360750 00360750 00360750 00360750	Beekman Downtown Hospital Bayley Seton Hospital Woodhull Medical Center	55, 499 1 <u>8</u> 7, <u>545</u>	64,593
00710430		275 55, 299 187, 575 34, 775 89, 164 39, 780	42,2514 25148 27,749 27,759 82,6715 82,857
00710430 00729373 00729382 00734336	St. Mary's Hospital of Brooklyn Episcopal Health Services	39′, 700 233′ 701	19,850 91,033
UU/34336	Interfaith Medical Center	233,101	** 007 075

SCHEDULE OF NON-RECOVERED AMOUNTS FOR ADMISSIONS PRIOR TO 1/1/88

PROVIDER NUMBER	PROVIDER NAME	TOTAL AMOUNT NOT RECOUPED	NON-RECOVERED FEDERAL SHARE
00243132	Cabrini Medical Center	\$10,974	\$5,487
00243178	Presbyterian Hospital-NYC	8,840	4,420
00243201	St. Clare's Hospital	15,442	7,721
00243265	Pelham Bay Hospital	2,266	1,133
00243449	Victory Hospital	1,930	965
00243518	NY Hospital	2,708	1,354
00243572	Brookdale Hospital	189,960	. 0
00243590	University Hospital of Brooklyn	8,554	4,277
00243614	Brooklyn Hospital	136,838	63,158
00243701	Methodist Hospital of Brooklyn	7,340	3,670
00246039	Bellevue Hospital Center	48,203	1,563
00246048	Bronx Municipal Hospital	5,760	0
00246066	Coney Island Hospital	2,700	1,350
00246117	Kings County Hospital Center	10,260	5,130
00246153	Queens Hospital	33,908	16,954
00274364	John T. Mather Memorial Hospital	16,134	8,067
00354967	St. Luke's Roosevelt Hospital	68,635	34,317
00476022	Bronx Lebanon Hospital	31,252	12,879
00729373	St. Mary's Hospital of Brooklyn	1,852	926
00729382	Episcopal Health Services	15,023	7,511
	GRAND TOTAL FOR 20 PROVIDERS	\$618,579	\$180,882

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

40 NORTH PEARL STREET, ALBANY, NEW YORK 12243-0001

MICHAEL J. DOWLING Commissioner



October 31, 1994

CORRESPONDE CERTORS

Mr. John Tournour
Regional Inspector General
for Audit Services
Office of Inspector General
Office of Audit Services
Department of Health & Human Services
Region II, Federal Building
26 Federal Plaza
New York, NY 10278

YOU 9 7 1994

Re: HHS/OIG <u>Draft</u> Report: Review of Island Peer Review Organization's Denials of Full

Organization's Denials of Full Medical Assistance Claims that NYS Identified as Pended or Denied through the Automated VOID Process A-02-94-01008 (94-

033)

Dear Mr. Tournour:

We shared your referenced report with the Department of Health (DOH) as well as with our Program staff for review and comment. The following is our response to the report's recommendations.

Recommendation: Work with IPRO and the affected providers to determine what portion of the \$5,029,429 (Federal share \$1,997,235) identified by our audit represents firm denial amounts that remain unrecovered. Once determined, NYS should recoup the overpayment amounts and credit the Federal Government with its share.

Response: We will work with the Department of Health and the Island Peer Review Organization (IPRO) to resolve these cases. The Department of Health plans to obtain a data tape of the 846 unresolved cases, which IPRO then will use to determine whether voids should be instituted. If voids are necessary, IPRO will submit the cases for processing.

Recommendation: Institute follow-up procedures to timely review, evaluate, and clear transactions appearing on the Pended or Denied Void Transactions reports.

<u>Response:</u> To help assure that payments are proper, we will initiate a project with DOH and IPRO that will more closely track pended or voided transactions.

Work with IPRO and the 20 hospitals to determine what Recommendation: portion of the \$618,579 (Federal share \$180,882) for the 40 voided claims represents firm denial amounts that remain unrecovered. Once determined, NYS should recoup the overpayment amounts and credit the Federal Government with its share.

These 40 voided claims will be included as a part of the media Response: tapes mentioned in the response to the first recommendation. If IPRO makes a determination that these claims should be recovered, the necessary steps recommended will be taken.

Thank you for sharing this report with us. We trust our comments are responsive to the issues raised.

Sincerely,

Nelson M. Weinstock Deputy Commissioner

Division of Management Support

& Quality Improvement